arXiv:1109.0591v1 [math.OA] 3 Sep 2011

Recent advances in the study of the Equivariant Brauer Group

Peter Bouwknegt[1,2], Alan Carey[1] and Rishni Ratnam[1]

[1] Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia, `peter.bouwknegt@anu.edu.au`, `alan.carey@anu.edu.au`, `rishni.ratnam@anu.edu.au`

[2] Department of Theoretical Physics, Research School of Physics and Engineering, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia

Summary. In this paper we outline a recent construction of a Chern-Weil isomorphism for the equivariant Brauer group of $\mathbb{R}^n$ actions on a principal torus bundle, where the target for this isomorphism is a "dimensionally reduced" Čech cohomology group. Using this latter group, we demonstrate how to extend the induced algebra construction to algebras with a non-trivial bundle as their spectrum.

1 Introduction

Let Z be a locally compact Hausdorff space. We fix a locally inner action $\operatorname{Ad} u$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ on $C_0(Z,\mathcal{K})$, the C*-algebra of continuous functions from Z to $\mathcal{K}$, the compact operators on an infinite dimensional, separable, Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, vanishing at infinity. I.e. $u : m \mapsto u^m \in U(\mathcal{H})$, and acts by the adjoint action on $C_0(Z,\mathcal{K})$ (recall $\operatorname{Aut} \mathcal{K} = PU(\mathcal{H})$). Recall the *induced algebra*

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(C_0(Z,\mathcal{K}), \operatorname{Ad} u) = \\ \{\xi : \mathbb{R}^n \to C_0(Z,\mathcal{K}) : \xi(s+m) = \operatorname{Ad} u^{-m}(\xi(s)), s \in \mathbb{R}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

This algebra comes equipped with an action of $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by translations: $\alpha'_s(\xi)(t) = \xi(t-s)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts trivially on Z, [RW98, Prop 6.16] shows that the spectrum of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(C_0(Z,\mathcal{K}), \operatorname{Ad} u)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n \times Z$, where $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. In the converse direction, if $(A,\alpha) \in \mathfrak{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbb{T}^n \times Z)$, then [Ech90] implies there exists a locally inner action of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ on $C_0(Z,\mathcal{K})$ such that (A,α) is $\mathbb{R}^n$-equivariantly isomorphic to $(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n}(C_0(Z,\mathcal{K}), \operatorname{Ad} u), \alpha')$. It follows that, for an arbitrary principal $\mathbb{T}^n$-bundle X, all elements of $\mathfrak{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$ are *locally* $\mathbb{R}^n$-equivariantly isomorphic to an induced algebra, but not globally if $\pi : X \to Z$ is a non-trivial bundle. The natural question that thus arises is; what is the sufficient data to sew together a collection of induced algebras in order to get a representative of every element of $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$, i.e. pairs in $\mathfrak{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$ up to outer conjugation, where $\pi : X \to \mathbb{R}^n \backslash X$ is a nontrivial principal $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$-bundle?

The answer to this question is a cohomology group whose construction was motivated by studies into T-duality in String Theory. Let Z be a C^∞ manifold, and denote by $H^k_{dR}(Z,\mathfrak{t})$ the k^{th} de Rham cohomology group with values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathbb{T}^n$. Now, suppose $\pi : X \to Z$ is our principal $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$-bundle classified over some open cover $\mathcal{W}$ of Z by $[F] \in \check{H}^2(\mathcal{W}, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$, and denote the image of the F in $\Omega^2(Z,\mathbb{R}^n)$ by F_2, called a *curvature* form of $\pi : X \to Z$.

Let $\wedge^i\mathfrak{t}^*$ denote the i^{th} exterior power of the dual Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}^*$. For $0 \le m \le l \le k$ we define a cochain complex

$$C^{k,(m,l)}_{F_2}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*) := \bigoplus_{i=m}^{l} \Omega^{k-i}(Z,\wedge^i\mathfrak{t}^*), \tag{1}$$

where $\Omega^{k-i}(Z,\wedge^i\mathfrak{t}^*)$ is the group of differential $(k-i)$-forms with values in $\wedge^i\mathfrak{t}^*$. Let $\{X_i\}_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ and $\{X_i^*\}_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ be a basis and dual basis for $\mathfrak{t}$, and $\mathfrak{t}^*$, respectively. Notice that $\{X^*_{j_1}\wedge X^*_{j_2}\cdots\wedge X^*_{j_i} : 0 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_i \le n\}$ is a basis for $\wedge^i\mathfrak{t}^*$, so that every element $H_{(k-i)i}$ of $\Omega^{k-i}(Z,\wedge^i\mathfrak{t}^*)$ can be written as a sum

$$\sum_{1\le j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_i\le n} (\tilde{H}_{(k-i)i})_{j_1\ldots j_i} \otimes (X^*_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge X^*_{j_i})\,,$$

with $(\tilde{H}_{(k-i)i})_{j_1\ldots j_i} \in \Omega^{k-i}(Z)$. To define a differential on the cochain complex (1), we first observe that on each group $\Omega^{k-i}(Z,\wedge^i\mathfrak{t}^*)$ we can define maps

$$\wedge F_2 : \Omega^{k-i}(Z,\wedge^i\mathfrak{t}^*) \to \Omega^{k-i+2}(Z,\wedge^{i-1}\mathfrak{t}^*)$$

by the formula

$$H_{(k-i)i}\wedge F_2 := \sum_{1\le j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_i\le n}\ \sum_{l=1}^{i}(-1)^{l+1}(\tilde{H}_{(k-i)i})_{j_1\ldots j_i}\wedge F_2(X^*_{j_l})\otimes X^*_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{X_{j_l}}\wedge\cdots\wedge X^*_{j_i},$$

where $\widehat{X_{j_l}}$ denotes omission of X_{j_l}. Then we have a differential D_{F_2} on (1) given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{F_2}(H_{(k-m)m},\ldots,H_{(k-l)l}) = (&dH_{(k-m)m} + (-1)^{k-m-1}H_{(k-m-1)(m+1)}\wedge F_2,\\ &dH_{(k-m-1)(m+1)} + (-1)^{k-m-2}H_{(k-m-2)(m+2)}\wedge F_2,\ldots,dH_{(k-l)l}),\end{aligned}$$

and we denote the resulting cohomology groups by $H^{k,(m,l)}_{F_2}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} & & & \\
H_{k0} \arrow[u, "d"] & {} & & \\
& H_{(k-1)1} \arrow[u, "d"] \arrow[uul, "\wedge F_2"'] & {} & \\
& & H_{(k-2)2} \arrow[u, "d"] \arrow[uul, "\wedge F_2"'] & {} \arrow[ul, dashed]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The groups $H_{F_2}^{k,(m,l)}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*)$ are interesting because they fit into a "Gysin" sequence:

Theorem 1 ([BHM05]). *There is an exact sequence*

$$\to H_{F_2}^{k,(m,m)}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H_{F_2}^{k,(m,l)}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_{F_2}^{k,(m+1,l)}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*) \xrightarrow{\wedge F_2} H_{F_2}^{k+1,(m,m)}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*) \to \tag{2}$$

where the map $\wedge F_2 : H_{F_2}^{k,(m+1,l)}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*) \to H_{F_2}^{k+1,(m,m)}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*)$ *is given by*

$$[(H_{(k-m-1)(m+1)},\dots,H_{(k-l)l})]\wedge F_2 := [(-1)^{k-m}H_{(k-m-1)(m+1)}\wedge F_2].$$

Moreover, there is an isomorphism $H_{dR}^k(X)\cong H_{F_2}^{k,(0,k)}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*)$.

In [BHM05] the authors argue that the "integration over the fibres map" $H_{dR}^3(X)\cong H_{F_2}^{3,(0,3)}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_{F_2}^{3,(1,3)}(Z,\mathfrak{t}^*)$ computes the T-dual curvature of a pair $(\pi : X\to Z, [H])$, where $[H]\in H_{dR}^3(X)$ is an H-flux. For the purposes of this exposition however, what is of interest is the remarkable resemblance of Theorem 1 with the Gysin sequence of Packer, Raeburn and Williams, which we now describe.

Consider the case where G is a second countable locally compact Hausdorff abelian group acting on a locally compact space X with orbit space $Z = G\backslash X$. Suppose also that $N\subset G$ is a closed subgroup such that $G\to N$ and $\hat{G}\to\hat{N}$ (where $\hat{G}$ denotes the Poincaré dual to G) have local sections, and $\pi : X\to Z$ is a principal G/N-bundle. For any element $[CT(X,\delta),\alpha]\in \mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ there is an open cover $\{U_{\lambda_0}\}$ of X and isomorphisms $\Phi_{\lambda_0} : CT(X,\delta)|_{U_{\lambda_0}}\to C_0(U_{\lambda_0},\mathcal{K})$. Moreover, it follows as above that

$\Phi_{\lambda_0} \circ \alpha|_N \circ \Phi_{\lambda_0}$ is locally inner. In [RW93] and [PRW96] the authors develop a cohomology theory $H^k_G(X,\mathcal{S})$, where $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the sheaf of germs of continuous $\mathbb{T}$-valued functions, that in degree 2 is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ such that the restriction of the actions to N are locally unitary. The failure of $\Phi_{\lambda_0} \circ \alpha|_N \circ \Phi_{\lambda_0}$ to be locally unitary at $x \in X$ is measured by a Mackey obstruction $f \in C(X/G, H^2_M(N,\mathbb{T}))$, which is trivial if and only if the restriction of α to N is locally unitary for all $x \in X$. (We will describe the Mackey obstruction for principal torus bundles in subsection 2.3 below). Packer, Raeburn and Williams call such systems *N-principal.*

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda_0}\}$ be an open cover of X by G-invariant sets, and define a two column cochain complex $C^{kj}_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})$ as follows:

$$C^{k0}_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}) := \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}), \quad C^{(k-1)1}_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}) := Z^1_G(G, \check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})),$$

where $Z^1_G(G, \check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}))$ denotes the set of *continuous* group cohomology 1-*cocycles* from G into the G-module $\check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})$ with the obvious G action. In other words, an element $\eta \in C^{(k-1)1}_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})$ is a collection of continuous functions $\eta_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k-1}} : G \times U_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k-1}} \to \mathbb{T}$ such that, for all $g_0, g_1 \in G$ and $x \in U_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k-1}}$, the following holds:

$$\eta_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k-1}}(g_1,x)\eta_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k-1}}(g_0g_1,x)^*\eta_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k-1}}(g_0,g_1^{-1}x) = 1.$$

This complex has as horizontal differential the usual group cohomology differential ∂_G, and as vertical differential the usual Čech differential $\check{\partial}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} & {} \\
\check{C}^2(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\partial_G"] \arrow[u, "\check{\partial}"] & Z^1_G(G,\check{C}^2(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})) \arrow[u, "\check{\partial}"'] \\
\check{C}^1(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\partial_G"] \arrow[u, "\check{\partial}"] & Z^1_G(G,\check{C}^1(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})) \arrow[u, "\check{\partial}"'] \\
\check{C}^0(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\partial_G"] \arrow[u, "\check{\partial}"] & Z^1_G(G,\check{C}^0(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})) \arrow[u, "\check{\partial}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The cohomology group $H^k_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})$ is then the cohomology $Z^k_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})/B^k_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})$ of the total complex

$$\left(\oplus_{j=0}^1 C^{(k-j)j}_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}), \check{\partial} \oplus (-1)^{k-j}\partial_G\right).$$

Then, after showing refinement maps induce canonical maps on cohomology [PRW96, Sect. 2], the authors define $H^k_G(X,\mathcal{S}) := \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} H^k_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})$.

Consider now the Packer-Raeburn-Williams Gysin sequence:

Theorem 2 ([PRW96, Thm 4.1]). *Let G be a locally compact abelian group and N a closed subgroup such that $G \to N$ and $\hat{G} \to \hat{N}$ have local sections. Let $\mathcal{N}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ denote the sheaves of germs of continuous N and $\hat{N}$-valued functions respectively. Then for any principal G/N-bundle $\pi : X \to Z$ with Euler vector $c \in \check{H}^2(Z, \mathcal{N})$ there is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \to \check{H}^k(Z,\mathcal{S}) \overset{\pi_G^*}{\to} H^k_G(X,\mathcal{S}) \overset{\pi_*}{\to} \check{H}^{k-1}(Z,\hat{\mathcal{N}}) \overset{\cup c}{\to} \check{H}^{k+1}(Z,\mathcal{S}) \to \dots$$

The sequence starts with $\check{H}^1(Z,\mathcal{S})$, and $\pi_G^* : \check{H}^1(Z,\mathcal{S}) \to \check{H}^1_G(X,\mathcal{S})$ is injective.

This exact sequence is a Čech cohomology version of Theorem 1 in the case that $l = 2$. Unlike the proof of Theorem 1 however, exactness of Theorem 2 is a very difficult computation involving complicated formulas, in particular at $\check{H}^{k-1}(Z, \hat{\mathcal{N}})$.

The analogue of the conclusion $H^3_{dR}(X) \cong H^{3,(0,3)}_{F_2}(Z, \mathfrak{t}^*)$ is the following Lemma, which in some sense makes a statement about the integer analogue of the group $H^{3,(0,2)}_{F_2}(Z, \mathfrak{t}^*)$ (see Theorem 4 and Theorem 5):

Lemma 1 ([PRW96, Lemma 1.3]). *Suppose that G is a locally compact abelian group, and N a closed subgroup such that $\hat{G} \to \hat{N}$ has local sections. Suppose $\pi : X \to Z$ is a locally trivial principal G/N-bundle over a paracompact space Z and let $\mathrm{M} : \mathrm{Br}_G(X) \to C(G\backslash X, H^2_M(N,\mathbb{T}))$ denote the Mackey Obstruction map. Then $H^2_G(X,\mathcal{S}) \cong \ker \mathrm{M}$.*

In order to generalise Lemma 1 to all of $\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ (which is the integer analogue of $H^{3,(0,3)}_{F_2}(Z, \mathfrak{t}^*)$), one may choose to study a three-column complex defined by setting $C^{k0}_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}) := \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})$, and

$$C^{(k-1)1}_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}) := C^1_G(G, \check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S})), \quad C^{(k-2)2}_G(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}) := Z^2_G(G, \check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{S}))\,.$$

However, such a complex is unable to go beyond $\ker \mathrm{M}$, because the horizontal differential requires $\mathcal{U}$ to consist of G-invariant sets, and [PRW96, Corollary 5.18] [3] implies continuous trace algebras trivialisable over G-invariant sets have trivial Mackey obstruction.

In this exposition, we discuss the appropriate integer Čech cohomology analogue of $H^{3,(0,3)}_{F_2}(Z, \mathfrak{t}^*)$. More details can be found elsewhere [BR11, BCR11]. We then use this cohomology group to present a new construction: a representative of every class of $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$ that is *explicitly* locally an induced algebra (see Section 5).

---

[3] The corollary is incorrect as the isomorphism claimed there is only a surjection.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Continuous Trace C*-Algebras

In this paper we are only concerned with separable and stable algebras and so our discussion is a greatly restricted version of the usual one for which the reader should consult [RW98]. With X as in the introduction, let $p : E \to X$ be a (locally trivial) vector bundle over X with fibre $\mathcal{K}$ (the compact operators on a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$), and structure group $\operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{K}$ (with the point-norm topology). Let $\Gamma(E, X)$ be the $*$-algebra of all continuous sections of $p : E \to X$. Then

$$\Gamma_0(E, X) := \{f \in \Gamma(E, X) : x \mapsto ||f(x)|| \text{ vanishes at } \infty\}$$

is a C^*-algebra with respect to point-wise operations and the sup norm [RW98, Prop. 4.89].

Definition 1. *A separable C*-algebra A with spectrum X is called* continuous trace *if it is $C_0(X)$-isomorphic to $\Gamma_0(E, X)$, for some (locally trivial) vector bundle $p : E \to X$ with fibre $\mathcal{K}$, and structure group* $\operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{K}$.

Now, recall the *Brauer group* $\operatorname{Br}(X)$ of X, which is the group of $C_0(X)$-isomorphism classes of continuous trace C*-algebras with spectrum X where the zero element is the $C_0(X)$-isomorphism class of $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ and the group operation is the balanced tensor product $A \cdot B := A \otimes_{C_0(X)} B$. (We are using here the standard abuse of notation by confusing a continuous trace C*-algebra A with its $C_0(X)$-isomorphism class). By [RW98, Prop 4.53], isomorphism classes of vector bundles with fibre $\mathcal{K}$ and structure group $\operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{K}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with $\check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{A})$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the sheaf of germs of continuous $\operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{K}$-valued functions. If we equip the unitary operators $U(\mathcal{H})$ with the strong operator topology, then there is an exact sequence of topological groups

$$1 \to \mathbb{T} \to U(\mathcal{H}) \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{K} \to 1, \tag{3}$$

such that $U(\mathcal{H}) \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{K}$ has local continuous sections [RW98, Ch 1]. Consequently, the long exact sequence in sheaf cohomology, combined with the fact that $U(\mathcal{H})$ is contractible (in the strong operator topology) [RW98, Thm 4.72] implies that $\check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{A}) \cong \check{H}^2(X, \mathcal{S})$. From the exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T} \to 1$, we then obtain $\check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{A}) \cong \check{H}^2(X, \mathcal{S}) \cong \check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$. As continuous trace C*-algebras are $C_0(X)$-isomorphic if and only if they are $C_0(X)$-isomorphic to the algebra of sections of isomorphic bundles, we obtain the *Dixmier-Douady* classification [DD63]. Namely, if A is a continuous trace C*-algebra with spectrum X, $C_0(X)$-isomorphic to continuous sections of a bundle $p : E \to X$, then there is an isomorphism $\operatorname{Br}(X) \to \check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ defined by the map induced by sending A to the image of $p : E \to X$ in $\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$. The corresponding unique (up to $C_0(X)$-isomorphism) continuous trace C*-algebras with image $\delta \in \check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ will be denoted $CT(X, \delta)$. We now move on to the *equivariant* Brauer group.

Definition 2. *Fix a locally compact group G and a second countable locally compact Hausdorff (left) G-space X. Denote the induced G-action on $C_b(X)$ by τ. That is $\tau_g(f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$, for $f \in C_b(X)$. Let A be a continuous trace C*-algebra with spectrum X, and α an action of G on A. Then α is said to* preserve the (given) action on the spectrum *if for all $g \in G$, $a \in A$ and $f \in C_b(X)$: $\alpha_g(f \cdot a) = \tau_g(f) \cdot \alpha_g(a)$.*

We write $\mathfrak{Br}_G(X)$ for the collection of pairs (A, α), where A is a continuous trace C*-algebra with spectrum a G-space X and α is an action of G on A that preserves the given action on the spectrum. If A is a C*-algebra, we denote by $M(A)$ and $UM(A)$ the *multiplier algebra* of A and unitary elements of $M(A)$ respectively. Now, given elements (A, α) and (A, β) of $\mathfrak{Br}_G(X)$, we say the actions α and β are *exterior equivalent* if there is a (strictly) continuous map $w : G \to UM(A)$ such that

$$\beta_g(a) = w_g \alpha_g(a) w_g^* \quad \text{for all } a \in A \text{ and } g \in G, \tag{4}$$

$$w_{gh} = w_g \overline{\alpha}_g(w_h) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G. \tag{5}$$

In this case, one says that w is a *unitary α cocycle* implementing the equivalence. Two pairs (A, α) and (B, β) are *outer conjugate* if and only if there is a $C_0(X)$-isomorphism $\phi : A \to B$ such that α and $\phi^{-1} \circ \beta \circ \phi$ are exterior equivalent. With the notation of the previous definition we have:

Definition 3. *The* equivariant Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ *is* $\mathfrak{Br}_G(X)$ *modulo outer conjugacy. The zero element is the equivalence class of $(C_0(X, \mathcal{K}), \tau)$, the binary operation is $[A, \alpha] \cdot [B, \beta] = [A \otimes_{C_0(X)} B, \alpha \otimes_X \beta]$ and the inverse of $[A, \alpha]$ is the conjugate algebra $[\overline{A}, \overline{\alpha}]$ (we use the canonical bijection of sets $\flat : A \to \overline{A}$, to define $\overline{\alpha}_g(\flat(a)) := \flat(\alpha_g(a))$.*

Later on we will use the "forgetful homomorphism" $F : \mathrm{Br}_G(X) \to \mathrm{Br}(X)$ that sends $[CT(X, \delta), \alpha]$ to $[CT(X, \delta)]$. This map is neither surjective nor injective in general.

2.2 G/N-bundles

Let G be a locally compact topological group acting on the left of a locally compact space X, with orbit space $Z = G\backslash X$. Suppose further that $N \subset G$ is a closed subgroup that acts trivially on X, and that $\pi : X \to Z$ is a principal G/N-bundle. Here we assume, and we shall do so for the rest of this article, that all bundles are *locally trivial.* Local triviality means there is an open cover $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}$ of Z and there exist continuous local sections $\sigma_i : W_i \to \pi^{-1}(W_i)$. Moreover, since X is a *principal* bundle, the action of G/N is free ([RW98, Example 4.60]), and the local sections define continuous G/N-equivariant maps $w_i : \pi^{-1}(W_i) \to G/N$ by

$$w_i(x)^{-1}x = \sigma_i(\pi(x)), \quad x \in \pi^{-1}(W_i).$$

In turn, these equivariant maps give local G/N-equivariant homeomorphisms $h_i : \pi^{-1}(W_i) \to G/N \times W_i$ defined by

$$h_i(x) := (w_i(x), \pi(x)),$$

which we call *local trivialisations*. Employing the notation $W_{ij} := W_i \cap W_j$, the local trivialisations allow us to define so-called *transition functions* $t_{ij} : W_{ij} \to G/N$ by

$$h_j \circ h_i^{-1}([s]_{G/N}, z) = (t_{ij}(z)[s]_{G/N}, z), \quad z \in Z, [s]_{G/N} \in G/N,$$

and one can check they satisfy the two identities

$$\begin{aligned} &t_{ij}(\pi(x)) = w_i(x)^{-1} w_j(x), \quad \text{and} \\ &t_{ij}(z)\sigma_j(z) = \sigma_i(z). \end{aligned}$$

The transition functions define an element $[t]$ in the first Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^1(Z, \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N})$, where $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}$ is the sheaf of germs of continuous G/N-valued functions, and the map $[X, \pi, Z] \mapsto [t]$ defines a bijective correspondence between isomorphism classes of principal G/N-bundles and $\check{H}^1(Z, \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N})$ (see, e.g., [RW98, Prop 4.53]).

If the projection $G \to G/N$ has local sections we can pick (perhaps after a refinement of the cover) local lifts $s_{ij} : W_{ij} \to G$ that satisfy, for all $x \in W_{ij}$, $[s_{ij}(x)]_{G/N} = t_{ij}(x)$, and define $[F] \in \check{H}^2(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{N})$ by $F_{ijk} := s_{jk} s_{ij} s_{ik}^{-1}$. The hypothesis of the existence of local lifts holds for many cases of interest, such as whenever $G = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{T}^m \times \mathbb{Z}^n \times F$, for some finite group F, regardless of N (cf. [PRW96]). In particular, when $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $N = \mathbb{Z}^n$, the fact that $\mathcal{R}^n$ (the sheaf of germs of continuous $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued functions) is "fine" implies for any $k \geq 1$ that $\check{H}^k(Z, \mathcal{R}^n) \cong 0$, and the long exact sequence in sheaf cohomology implies there is an isomorphism

$$\varDelta : \check{H}^1(Z, \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}) \overset{\cong}{\to} \check{H}^2(Z, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n).$$

Definition 4. *We shall call $\varDelta[t] \in \check{H}^2(Z, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$ the* Euler vector *of the principal $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$-bundle.*

2.3 Mackey Obstruction for Principal $\mathbb{T}^n$-bundles

Fix an action α of $\mathbb{R}^n$ on on $CT(X, \delta)$ which covers the fibre action for a principal $\mathbb{T}^n$-bundle $\pi : X \to Z$. This data gives an element $[CT(X, \delta), \alpha]$ of the equivariant Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$. A commonly used obstruction of the action α is the *Mackey obstruction*. The Mackey obstruction $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash X, H^2_M(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{T}))$ is trivial if and only if the restriction of α to $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is locally unitary for all $x \in X$. Here we give a simple formula. In the work below, the symbol $M_n^u(\mathbb{T})$ denotes the group, under addition, of strictly upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{T}$.

Choose an open cover $\{U_{\lambda_0}\}_{\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{I}}$ of X so that there are isomorphisms $\Phi_{\lambda_0} : CT(X, \delta)\big|_{U_{\lambda_0}} \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(U_{\lambda_0}, \mathcal{K})$. Since $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts trivially on the spectrum X, [EN01, Prop 2.1] implies the actions $\Phi_{\lambda_0} \circ \alpha|_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \circ \Phi_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$ on $C_0(U_{\lambda_0}, \mathcal{K})$ are locally inner. Perhaps after a refinement of $\{U_{\lambda_0}\}$, there exist $u^i_{\lambda_0} \in C(U_{\lambda_0}, U(\mathcal{H}))$ that implement the actions:

$$\Phi_{\lambda_0} \circ \alpha_{e_i} \circ \Phi_{\lambda_0}^{-1}(h)(x) = u^i_{\lambda_0}(x) h(x) u^i_{\lambda_0}(x)^* \quad h \in C_0(U_{\lambda_0}, \mathcal{K}) \,, \tag{6}$$

where e_i is the i-th basis element of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Now define a function $f_{\lambda_0} \in C(U_{\lambda_0}, M^u_n(\mathbb{T}))$ by

$$f_{\lambda_0}(x)_{ij} u^i_{\lambda_0}(x) u^j_{\lambda_0}(x) = u^j_{\lambda_0}(x) u^i_{\lambda_0}(x) \,, \qquad i \leq j \,. \tag{7}$$

One can prove that that f_{λ_0} is in fact independent of λ_0, and constant on orbits of X, and thus defines a global function $f \in C(Z, M^u_n(\mathbb{T}))$. The function f is called the Mackey obstruction of α.

2.4 Phillips-Raeburn Obstruction

Let $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ denote the Pontryagin dual of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, and $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ the sheaf of germs of continuous $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^n$-valued functions. Suppose that we have $[C_0(X, \mathcal{K}), \alpha] \in \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$, as above, and α has vanishing Mackey obstruction. Then there exists an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda_0}\}_{\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{I}}$ of X and *homomorphisms* $u_{\lambda_0} : \mathbb{Z}^n \to C(U_{\lambda_0}, U(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$(\alpha_m h)(x) = u^m_{\lambda_0}(x) h(x) u^m_{\lambda_0}(x)^*, \quad h \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \,, \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \,.$$

In this case the action α is called *locally unitary*. One can then measure the obstruction to α being implemented by a global homomorphism $u : \mathbb{Z}^n \to C(X, U(\mathcal{H}))$ via the cocycle $\eta_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1} \in \check{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}, \hat{\mathcal{N}})$ defined by

$$\eta^m_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1}(x) := u^m_{\lambda_1}(x) u^m_{\lambda_0}(x)^*, \quad x \in U_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1} \,. \tag{8}$$

The class $[\eta]$ is called the *Phillips-Raeburn obstruction* of α [PR79], and clearly α can be implemented globally if and only if $[\eta] = 0 \in \check{H}^1(X, \hat{\mathcal{N}})$.

3 Dimensionally Reduced Cohomology

Here we define a series of "dimensionally reduced cohomology" groups in order to generalise the dimensionally reduced de Rham cohomology groups from [BHM05] and to extend Lemma 1 to all of $\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$. From here on we specialize the discussion to $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, and $N = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let Z be a C^∞ manifold and fix an open cover $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{\mu_0}\}$ of Z together with a cocycle $F \in \check{Z}^2(\mathcal{W}, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$. Let $\mathcal{S}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ denote the sheaves of germs of continuous $\mathbb{T}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ and $M^u_n(\mathbb{T})$-valued functions respectively. We can think of a

Čech cocycle in $\phi^{(k-2)2} \in \check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{M})$ as an $\binom{n}{2}$-tuple $\{\phi^{(k-2)2}(\cdot)_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$, where $\phi^{(k-2)2}(\cdot)_{ij} \in \check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})$.

We define a cochain complex $C^k_F(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})$, for $k \geq 2$ as all triples

$$(\phi^{k0}, \phi^{(k-1)1}, \phi^{(k-2)2})\,,$$

consisting of Čech cochains $\phi^{k0} \in \check{C}^k(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})$, $\phi^{(k-1)1} \in \check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{W}, \hat{\mathcal{N}})$ and $\phi^{(k-2)2} \in \check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{M})$. When $k = 1$, we define a cochain to be a pair (ϕ^{10}, ϕ^{01}), where $\phi^{10} \in \check{C}^1(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})$, $\phi^{01} \in \check{C}^0(\mathcal{W}, \hat{\mathcal{N}})$, whilst when $k = 0$ a cochain is an element $\phi^{00} \in \check{C}^0(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})$.

Now, for any $A \in \check{C}^2(\mathcal{W}, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$ and $B \in \check{C}^3(\mathcal{W}, \underline{M^u_n(\mathbb{Z})})$ we can define products

$$\begin{aligned}
\cup_1 A &: \check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{W}, \hat{\mathcal{N}}) \to \check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})\,, \\
\cup_1 A &: \check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{M}) \to \check{C}^{k}(\mathcal{W}, \hat{\mathcal{N}})\,, \\
\cup_2 B &: \check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{M}) \to \check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\phi^{(k-1)1} \cup_1 A)_{\lambda_0 \ldots \lambda_{k+1}}(z) := \phi^{(k-1)1}_{\lambda_0 \ldots \lambda_{k-1}}(A_{\lambda_{k-1}\lambda_k\lambda_{k+1}}(z), z)\,, \\
&(\phi^{(k-2)2} \cup_1 A)_{\lambda_0 \ldots \lambda_{k+1}}(m, z) := \\
&\qquad\qquad \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \phi^{(k-2)2}_{\lambda_0 \ldots \lambda_{k-1}}(z)_{ij}^{A_{\lambda_{k-1}\lambda_k\lambda_{k+1}}(z)_i (m)_j - (m)_i A_{\lambda_{k-1}\lambda_k\lambda_{k+1}}(z)_j}\,, \\
&(\phi^{(k-2)2} \cup_2 B)_{\lambda_0 \ldots \lambda_{k+1}}(z) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \phi^{(k-2)2}_{\lambda_0 \ldots \lambda_{k-2}}(z)_{ij}^{B_{\lambda_{k-2}\lambda_{k-1}\lambda_k\lambda_{k+1}}(z)_{ij}}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

where m_l denotes the l^{th} component of $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The Čech differential $\check{\partial}$ is a graded derivation with respect to these products [BR11]. Now, let F_i denote the i^{th} component of F, our fixed representative of the Euler vector of $\pi : X \to Z$, and define a 3-cochain $C(F) \in \check{C}^3(\mathcal{W}, \underline{M^u_n(\mathbb{Z})})$ by the formula:

$$C(F)_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_{ij} := F_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_i F_{\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_j - F_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_i F_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_3}(z)_j\,.$$

By [Ste47, Sect 2], $C(F)$ has the property that $\check{\partial} C(F)_{ij} = F_i \cup F_j - F_j \cup F_i$. This property implies the map $D_F : C^k_F(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S}) \to C^{k+1}_F(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_F(\phi^{k0}, \phi^{(k-1)1}, \phi^{(k-2)2}) := (\check{\partial}\phi^{k0} \times (\phi^{(k-1)1} \cup_1 F)^{(-1)^{k+1}} \\
&\qquad \times (\phi^{(k-2)2} \cup_2 C(F)))^{(-1)^{k+1}}, \quad \check{\partial}\phi^{(k-1)1} \times (\phi^{(k-2)2} \cup_1 F)^{(-1)^k}, \check{\partial}\phi^{(k-2)2}).
\end{aligned}$$

satisfies $D_F^2 = 0$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) & & \\
\check{C}^{k}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[u, "\check{\partial}"] & \check{C}^{k}(\mathcal{W},\hat{\mathcal{N}}) & \\
 & \check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{W},\hat{\mathcal{N}}) \arrow[u, "\check{\partial}"] \arrow[uul, "\cup_1 F"] & \check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M}) \\
 & & \check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M}) \arrow[u, "\check{\partial}"] \arrow[uul, "\cup_1 F"] \arrow[uuull, "\cup_2 C(F)"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus we have:

Definition 5. *The k^{th}-dimensionally reduced Čech cohomology group of the covering $\mathcal{W}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{S}$, is the cohomology of $C_F^k(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})$ under the differential D_F. This group is denoted $\mathbb{H}_F^k(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})$.*

We can also define similarly, $\mathbb{H}_F^k(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\mathbb{H}_F^k(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{R})$, using integer and real coefficients. Cochains in $C_F^k(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ are triples $(\phi^{k0},\phi^{(k-1)1},\phi^{(k-2)2})$ consisting of Čech cochains $\phi^{k0}\in\check{C}^k(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$, $\phi^{(k-1)1}\in\check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$ and $\phi^{(k-2)2}\in\check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{W},\underline{M_n^u(\mathbb{Z})})$. We define degree 0 and 1 cochains as before, by truncating the lower Čech cochains. Then we have maps

$$\begin{aligned}
\cup_1 F &: \check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)\to\check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}})\,,\\
\cup_1 F &: \check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{W},\underline{M_n^u(\mathbb{Z})})\to\check{C}^{k}(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)\,,\\
\cup_2 C(F) &: \check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{W},\underline{M_n^u(\mathbb{Z})})\to\check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

with their integer cohomology analogues:

$$(\phi^{(k-1)1}\cup_1 F)_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k+1}}(z) := \sum_{l=1}^{n}\phi^{(k-1)1}_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k-1}}(z)_l F_{\lambda_{k-1}\lambda_k\lambda_{k+1}}(z)_l,$$

$$(\phi^{(k-2)2}\cup_1 F)_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_k}(z)_l := \sum_{1\le i<j\le n}\phi^{(k-2)2}_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k-2}}(z)_{ij}(F_{\lambda_{k-2}\lambda_{k-1}\lambda_k}(z)_i(e_l)_j-(e_l)_iF_{\lambda_{k-2}\lambda_{k-1}\lambda_k}(z)_j),$$

$$(\phi^{(k-2)2}\cup_2 C(F))_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k+1}}(z) := \sum_{1\le i<j\le n}\phi^{(k-2)2}_{\lambda_0\ldots\lambda_{k-2}}(z)_{ij}C(F)_{\lambda_{k-2}\lambda_{k-1}\lambda_k\lambda_{k+1}}(z)_{ij},$$

Then the differential in integer coefficients is

$$\begin{aligned} D_F(\phi^{k0},\phi^{(k-1)1},\phi^{(k-2)2}) &:= (\check{\partial}\phi^{k0} + (-1)^{k+1}\phi^{(k-1)1}\cup_1 F \\ &+ (-1)^{k+1}\phi^{(k-2)2}\cup_2 C(F), \check{\partial}\phi^{(k-1)1} + (-1)^k\phi^{(k-2)2}\cup_1 F, \check{\partial}\phi^{(k-2)2})\,. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6. *Fix a cocycle* $F \in \check{Z}^2(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$. *The* k^{th} dimensionally reduced Čech cohomology group of the cover $\mathcal{W}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ *is the cohomology of* $C^k_F(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Similarly, for real coefficients, cochains in $C^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{R})$ are triples

$$(\phi^{k0},\phi^{(k-1)1},\phi^{(k-2)2})$$

consisting of Čech cochains $\phi^{k0} \in \check{C}^k(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{R})$, $\phi^{(k-1)1} \in \check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{R}^n)$ and $\phi^{(k-2)2} \in \check{C}^{k-2}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}))$, where $\mathcal{R}$ denotes the sheaf of germs of continuous $\mathbb{R}$-valued functions, and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$, the sheaf of germs of continuous $M^u_n(\mathbb{R})$-valued functions.

As expected, the short exact sequence of groups $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T} \to 1$ induces a long exact sequence in dimensionally reduced cohomology. As with ordinary Čech cohomology, most of the groups $\mathbb{H}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{R})$ are trivial. Computing the non-trivial ones using a contracting homotopy gives

Corollary 1. *Let* $\mathcal{W}$ *be a good open cover of a* C^∞ *manifold* Z*, and fix a cocycle* $F \in \check{Z}^2(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$. *Then we have exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to C(Z,\mathbb{Z}) \to C(Z,\mathbb{R}) \to C(Z,\mathbb{T}) \to \mathbb{H}^1_F(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \to C(Z,\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{H}^1_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \\ &\to \mathbb{H}^2_F(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \to C(Z,M^u_n(\mathbb{R})) \to \mathbb{H}^2_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \to \mathbb{H}^3_F(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\textit{and} \quad 0 \to \mathbb{H}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \to \mathbb{H}^{k+1}_F(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \to 0, \quad k \geq 3.$$

In order to mimic Theorem 2, we introduce a generalisation of $\check{H}^{k-1}(Z,\hat{\mathcal{N}})$. Define a cochain complex $\overline{C}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})$, where for $k \geq 1$ an element is a pair $(\varphi^{k1},\varphi^{(k-1)2})$ consisting of Čech cochains $\phi^{k1} \in \check{C}^k(\mathcal{W},\hat{\mathcal{N}})$ and $\phi^{(k-1)2} \in \check{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M})$. A cochain in $\overline{C}^0_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})$ is given by (φ^{01}), for $\phi^{01} \in \check{C}^0(\mathcal{W},\hat{\mathcal{N}})$. This complex has a differential $\overline{D}_F$ given by

$$\overline{D}_F(\phi^{k1},\phi^{(k-1)2}) := (\check{\partial}\phi^{(k-1)1} \times (\phi^{(k-2)2}\cup_1 F)^{(-1)^k}, \check{\partial}\phi^{(k-2)2}). \qquad (9)$$

Definition 7. *We define* $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})$ *to be the cohomology of* $\overline{C}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})$ *under the differential* $\overline{D}_F$.

One can see this group is obtained by removing the first entry from $C^{k+1}_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})$. Obviously, one can define the same groups with integer and real coefficients, denoted $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{R})$ respectively. If $\mathcal{W}$ is good, then we can define maps in analogue to the Gysin sequence maps from Theorem 2. Indeed, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^* :&\check{H}^k(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \to \mathbb{H}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})\\
&[\phi^{k0}] \mapsto [\phi^{k0},1,1]\\
\pi_* :&\mathbb{H}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \to \overline{\mathbb{H}}^{k-1}_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})\\
&[\phi^{k0},\phi^{(k-1)1},\phi^{(k-2)2}] \mapsto [\phi^{(k-1)1},\phi^{(k-2)2}]\\
\cup F :&\overline{\mathbb{H}}^{k-1}_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \to \check{H}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})\\
&[\phi^{(k-1)1},\phi^{(k-2)2}] \mapsto [(\phi^{(k-1)1}\cup_1 F)^{(-1)^{k+2}} \times (\phi^{(k-2)2}\cup_2 C(F))^{(-1)^{k+2}}].
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the map $\cup F$ is defined using the fixed representative $F \in \check{Z}^2(Z,\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$. That $\mathcal{W}$ is good ensures that the above maps commute with the long exact sequence maps from Corollary 1 (and their analogue for $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\bullet)$). We then have our analogue of Theorem 2:

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a good open cover of a C^∞ manifold Z, and fix a cocycle $F \in \check{Z}^2(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$. Then there is a commuting diagram with exact columns and rows:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} \arrow[r] & \check{H}^k(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{R}) \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{H}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{R}) \arrow[r, "\pi_*"] \arrow[d] & \overline{\mathbb{H}}^{k-1}_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{R}) \arrow[r, "\cup F"] \arrow[d] & \check{H}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{R}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {} \\
{} \arrow[r] & \check{H}^k(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{H}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\pi_*"] \arrow[d] & \overline{\mathbb{H}}^{k-1}_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\cup F"] \arrow[d] & \check{H}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {} \\
{} \arrow[r] & \check{H}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] & \mathbb{H}^{k+1}_F(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \arrow[r, "\pi_*"] & \overline{\mathbb{H}}^{k}_F(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \arrow[r, "\cup F"] & \check{H}^{k+2}(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \arrow[r] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The proof of the above theorem is routine following exactly from the definitions. This is quite distinct from the proof of Theorem 2, which as we have already mentioned, is very difficult. As desired, this theorem agrees with Theorem 2 in the following sense:

Theorem 4. *Let $\pi : X \to Z$ be a principal $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$-bundle over a C^∞ manifold Z, and let $F \in \check{Z}^2(\mathcal{W},\underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$ be a representative of the Euler vector defined over a good open cover $\mathcal{W}$ of Z. Then there is a commutative diagram with exact rows:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} \arrow[r] & \check{H}^k(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\pi^*_{\mathbb{R}^n}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & H^k_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}),\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\pi_*"] \arrow[d] & \check{H}^{k-1}(\mathcal{W},\hat{\mathcal{N}}) \arrow[r, "\cup F"] \arrow[d] & \check{H}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & {} \\
{} \arrow[r] & \check{H}^k(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] & \mathbb{H}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\pi_*"] & \overline{\mathbb{H}}^{k-1}_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r, "\cup F"] & \check{H}^{k+1}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}) \arrow[r] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

To prove this theorem we need only define the downward arrows $H^k_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}),\mathcal{S}) \to \mathbb{H}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})$ and $\check{H}^{k-1}(\mathcal{W},\hat{\mathcal{N}}) \to \overline{\mathbb{H}}^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})$, and the commutativity will follow immediately from the definitions. Indeed, if (ν,η) is a cochain in $C^k_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}),\mathcal{S})$, its image in $C^k_F(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S})$ is defined to be the triple

$$(\phi^{k0}(\nu,\eta), \phi^{(k-1)1}(\nu,\eta), \phi^{(k-2)2}(\nu,\eta))$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\nu,\eta)^{k0}_{\mu_0\ldots\mu_k}(z) :=& \nu_{\mu_0\ldots\mu_k}(\sigma_{\mu_k}(z))\eta_{\mu_0\ldots\mu_{k-1}}(-s_{\mu_{k-1}\mu_k}(z), \sigma_{\mu_k}(z)),\\ \phi(\nu,\eta)^{(k-1)1}_{\mu_0\ldots\mu_{k-1}}(m,z) :=& \eta_{\mu_0\ldots\mu_{k-1}}(m, \sigma_{\mu_{k-1}}(z)), \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\nu,\eta)^{(k-2)2} := 1.\end{aligned}$$

These formulas should be compared with the formulas in [PRW96, Lemma 4.2], on which they are based.

4 Dimensional Reduction Isomorphisms

Recall the projection $\pi^{0,3}$ of $\check{H}^3(X,\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ onto the $E^{0,3}_\infty$ term of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of $\pi : X \to Z$. Since

$$E^{0,3}_\infty = \{f \in C(Z, \check{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})) : d_2 f = d_3 f = d_4 f = 0\},$$

we can view $\pi^{0,3}$ as a map $\pi^{0,3} : \check{H}^3(X,\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \to C(Z, \check{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}))$, that can be calculated as follows. For each $x \in X$, let $\iota_x : \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \to X$ be the fibre inclusion $\iota_x : [t] \mapsto [-t]\cdot x$. Then, for $\delta \in \check{H}^3(X,\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ we have $(\pi^{0,3}\delta)(\pi(x)) = \iota_x^*\delta$. We will denote the kernel of $\pi^{0,3}$ by $\check{H}^3(X,\underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0}$. With this notation, we can state our main theorem, which we refer to as the *dimensional reduction* isomorphisms.

Theorem 5. *Let $\pi : X \to Z$ be a C^∞ principal $\mathbb{T}^n$-bundle over a Riemannian manifold Z. Then there exists a good open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X, and a cochain $s \in \check{C}^1(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{R}^n)$ such that*

(1) the image of $[\check{\partial} s] \in \check{H}^2(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$ in $\check{H}^2(Z, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$ is the Euler vector of $\pi : X \to Z$; and

(2) every stable continuous trace C^*-algebra over X is trivialised over $\mathcal{U}$. Moreover, there is an isomorphism $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s} : \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X) \to \mathbb{H}^2_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S})$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}"', "\cong"] & \check{H}^3(X,\underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0} \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\ \mathbb{H}^2_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S}) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}})\,.\end{tikzcd}$$

The proof of this theorem is difficult and highly technical, with the most non-trivial part being the construction of $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}$ and the proof that it is an isomorphism. In fact, injectivity of $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}$ is reasonably straightforward; the main part is to show there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)|_{M=0} \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[dr] & H^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi^{-1}(\pi(\mathcal{U})), \mathcal{S}) \arrow[d] \\
 & \mathbb{H}^2_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S})\,,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the downward arrow comes from Theorem 4. For surjectivity on the other hand, one utilises the groupoid cohomology of Tu, which satisfies $\check{H}^2(G \ltimes X, \mathcal{S}) \cong \mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ [Tu06, Cor 5.9], and [KMRW98], where $G \ltimes X$ is the transformation-group groupoid. Using this, we construct a map $\Psi_{\mathcal{U},s} : \mathbb{H}^2_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S}) \to \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$ that factors through $\check{H}^2(G \ltimes X, \mathcal{S})$ and satisfies $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{U},s} = \mathrm{id}$ (see [BCR11] for details).

For the rest of this section we shall describe the isomorphisms $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}$ and $\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0} \to \mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$. This will be instructive in the following section, where we exhibit a concrete representative of every class in $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$ in terms of a cocycle in $Z^2_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S})$.

Definition 8 ([Spi79]).

(i) Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, and $U \subset M$. Then U is said to be geodesically convex *if every two points $x, y \in U$ have a unique geodesic of minimum length between them, and that geodesic lies entirely within U.*

(ii) Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open cover of a Riemannian manifold (M, g). Then we call $\mathcal{U}$ geodesically convex *if every open set in $\mathcal{U}$ is geodesically convex.*

Note that every geodesically convex open set is contractible, and every geodesically convex open cover is good [Spi79]. It is instructive to see how the geometry is used for the following result. Thus we provide the proof for the first claim. The second claim then follows automatically, since the cover $\mathcal{U}$ is *good*. To this end, let $\pi : X \to Z$ be a C^∞ principal $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$-bundle over a Riemannian manifold Z. We will show there is a good cover of X that pushes down to a good cover of Z.

Lemma 2. *Let (Z, dz^2) be a Riemannian manifold and $\pi : X \to Z$ a C^∞ principal $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$-bundle. Then X has a geodesically convex open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}}$ such that $\pi(\mathcal{U}) := \{\pi(U_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a geodesically convex open cover of Z. Moreover, there exist C^∞ local sections $\sigma_\lambda : \pi(U_\lambda) \to U_\lambda$.*

Proof. Fix a connection 1-form ω on $\pi : X \to Z$, and let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ denote a bi-invariant metric on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. Therefore we have a $\mathbb{R}^n$-bi-invariant Riemannian metric on X defined by $g := \pi^* dz^2 + \langle \omega, \omega \rangle$. Moreover, with respect to the metrics g and dz^2, the projection $\pi : X \to Z$ is a Riemannian submersion.

Now let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda\}$ be an open cover of X consisting of geodesically convex sets defined as follows. For each $x \in X$ choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ so that $B_x(\epsilon_0) = \exp\{v \in TX_x : ||v|| < \epsilon_0\}$ is geodesically convex (this is possible by [Spi79, Vol. 1, Ex. 32, p.491]). We claim, perhaps after choosing a smaller ϵ_0, that $\pi(\mathcal{U}) = \{\pi(U_\lambda)\}$ is a geodesically convex open cover of Z.

To see that this is the case, observe that [Spi79, Vol. 2, Ch. 8, Prop. 7] and [FIP04, Cor 1.1] show that a curve γ in Z is a geodesic in Z if and only if its unique lift to a horizontal curve in X is a geodesic. Moreover, [FIP04, Prop 1.10] says that, if $\gamma : I \to X$ is a geodesic such that $\dot\gamma(t_0)$ is horizontal at $x = \gamma(t_0)$, then γ is horizontal. Finally, since π is a *Riemannian* submersion, the map $d\pi : TX_x \to TZ_{\pi(x)}$ is a surjection that preserves the length of horizontal vectors. Therefore, if $\epsilon_1 > 0$ is such that $B_{\epsilon_1}(\pi(x))$ is geodesically convex in Z, let $\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1\}$, and then $\pi(B_\epsilon(x)) = B_\epsilon(\pi(x))$.

For the last claim, if $z_0 \in B_\epsilon(\pi(x))$, then there exists a unique geodesic ξ contained in $B_\epsilon(\pi(x))$ for which there exists $t \in [0, \epsilon)$ with $\xi(0) = \pi(x), \xi(t) = z_0$. From the above, there is a unique (horizontal) geodesic γ in $B_\epsilon(x)$ such that $\pi(\gamma) = \xi$. Then we define $\sigma_\lambda(z_0) := \gamma(t)$. This is a C^∞ section by [Spi79, Vol 1, Thm 14(2)].

Definition 9. *Let $\pi : X \to Z$ be a C^∞ principal $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$-bundle over a Riemannian manifold Z, and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda_0}\}_{\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a fixed good open cover of X such that $\pi(\mathcal{U})$ is a good open cover of Z. Also fix C^∞ local sections $\sigma_{\lambda_0} : \pi(U_{\lambda_0}) \to U_{\lambda_0}$ and a cochain $s \in \check{C}^1(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, for all indices $\lambda_0, \lambda_1 \in \mathcal{I}$, and all $z \in \pi(U_{\lambda_0}) \cap \pi(U_{\lambda_1})$, we have $s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z) \cdot \sigma_{\lambda_1}(z) = \sigma_{\lambda_0}(z)$, and $s_{\lambda_1\lambda_1}(z) = 0$. Then we say the $(X, \mathcal{U}, s)$ is* in the standard setup *(with the space Z, projection $\pi : X \to Z$ and local sections $\{\sigma_{\lambda_0}\}$ implicit).*

Now we proceed to the construction of the isomorphism $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{U}, s)$ be in the standard setup, and fix $(CT(X, \delta), \alpha) \in \mathfrak{Br}_G(X)$. Thus there exist $C_0(U^0_{\lambda_0})$-isomorphisms (local trivialisations) $\Phi_{\lambda_0} : CT(X, \delta)|_{U^0_{\lambda_0}} \to C_0(U^0_{\lambda_0}, \mathcal{K})$. We define a continuous map $\beta^{\alpha,\Phi} : (G \ltimes X[\mathcal{U}^0])^{(1)} \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{K}$ as follows. For fixed $T \in \mathcal{K}$, let $a \in CT(X, \delta)$ satisfy $\Phi_{\lambda_0}(a)(g^{-1}x) = T$. Then we define

$$\beta^{\alpha,\Phi}_{(\lambda_0(g,x)\lambda_1)}(T) := \Phi_{\lambda_1}(\alpha_g(a))(x).$$

This is indeed well-defined:

Lemma 3. *$\beta^{\alpha,\Phi}_{(\lambda_0(g,x)\lambda_1)}(T)$ is independent of the choice of $a \in CT(X, \delta)$ satisfying $\Phi_{\lambda_0}(a)(g^{-1}x) = T$.*

Proof. Let b be another element such that $\Phi_{\lambda_0}(b)(g^{-1}x) = T$. Then $\Phi_{\lambda_0}(a - b)(g^{-1}x) = 0$. By [EW98, Lemma 2.1] and its proof, we can identify

$$CT(X, \delta)|_{X\backslash\{g^{-1}x\}} = C_0(X\backslash\{g^{-1}x\}) \cdot CT(X, \delta)\,,$$

and there exists $f \in C_0(X)$ and $c \in CT(X, \delta)$ such that $f(g^{-1}x) = 0$ and $f \cdot c = a - b$. Then the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\lambda_1}(\alpha_g(a - b))(x) &= \Phi_{\lambda_1}(\alpha_g(f \cdot c))(x) = \Phi_{\lambda_1}(\tau_g(f)\alpha_g(c))(x)\\ &= f(g^{-1}x)\Phi_{\lambda_1}(\alpha_g(c))(x) = 0\,,\end{aligned}$$

shows that $\Phi_{\lambda_1}(\alpha_g(a))(x) = \Phi_{\lambda_1}(\alpha_g(b))(x)$.

Now recall

Lemma 4 ([RW98, Prop 4.27]). *Let U be a contractible paracompact locally compact space, and $\beta : U \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{K}$ a continuous map. Then there exists a continuous map $u : U \to U(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\beta = \operatorname{Ad} u$.*

We can thus introduce unitary valued lifts of $\beta^{\alpha,\Phi}$ by letting $\{e_i\}$ be generators of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and recalling from [EN01, Prop 2.1] that, for the trivialisations $\{\Phi_{\lambda_0}\}$, the maps $\Phi_{\lambda_0} \circ \alpha|_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \circ \Phi_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$ are locally inner. Thus, since $\mathcal{U}$ is good, Lemma 4 implies there exist continuous maps $v^i_{\lambda_0} : U_{\lambda_0} \to U(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Phi_{\lambda_0} \circ \alpha_{e_i} \circ \Phi_{\lambda_0}^{-1} = \operatorname{Ad} v^i_{\lambda_0}$. Then we may define for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$v^m_{\lambda_0}(x) := (v^1_{\lambda_0}(x))^{m_1}(v^2_{\lambda_0}(x))^{m_2} \dots (v^n_{\lambda_0}(x))^{m_n}, \tag{10}$$

which gives

$$\beta^{\alpha,\Phi}_{(\lambda_0(m,x)\lambda_0)}(T) = \operatorname{Ad} v^m_{\lambda_0}(x)(T). \tag{11}$$

There is also a map from $\pi(U_{\lambda_0}) \cap \pi(U_{\lambda_1}) \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{K}$ given by

$$z \mapsto \beta^{\alpha,\Phi}_{(\lambda_0(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z),\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))\lambda_1)}.$$

Since $\pi(\mathcal{U})$ is a good cover of Z, the open set $\pi(U_{\lambda_0}) \cap \pi(U_{\lambda_1})$ is contractible, and there exists a continuous map $v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1} : \pi(U_{\lambda_0}) \cap \pi(U_{\lambda_1}) \to U(\mathcal{H})$ that satisfies

$$\beta^{\alpha,\Phi}_{(\lambda_0(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z),\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))\lambda_1)} = \operatorname{Ad} v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z). \tag{12}$$

Next, $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}[CT(X,\delta),\alpha]$ will be a triple $[\phi(\alpha)^{20}, \phi(\alpha)^{11}, \phi(\alpha)^{02}]$. Define the last component $\phi(\alpha)^{02} \in \check{C}^0(\pi(\mathcal{U}),\mathcal{M})$ by:

$$\phi(\alpha)^{02}_{\lambda_0}(z)_{ij} := v^j_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))v^i_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))v^j_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))^* v^i_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))^*. \tag{13}$$

(This equation should be compared with Eqn. (7)). For the middle component, note that since α is a homomorphism and $\mathbb{R}^n$ is abelian we have

$$\operatorname{Ad}\left[v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)v^m_{\lambda_0}(z)\right] = \operatorname{Ad}\left[v^m_{\lambda_1}(z)v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)\right].$$

A computation then shows that the map $m \mapsto v^m_{\lambda_1}(z)v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)v^m_{\lambda_0}(z)^* v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)^*$ is a homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}^n$ to $\mathbb{T}$. This being the case, we may define $\phi(\alpha)^{11} \in \check{C}^1(\pi(\mathcal{U}),\hat{\mathcal{N}})$ by

$$\phi(\alpha)^{11}_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(m,z) := v^m_{\lambda_1}(z)v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)v^m_{\lambda_0}(z)^* v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)^*. \tag{14}$$

Finally, to define the third component, we use a similar calculation to the previous one to show

$$\operatorname{Ad}\left[v_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)\right] = \operatorname{Ad}\left[v_{\lambda_0\lambda_2}(z)v_{\lambda_0}^{-\check{\partial}s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)}(z)\right].$$

Therefore we may define the cochain $\phi(\alpha)^{20} \in \check{C}^2(\pi(\mathcal{U}),\mathcal{S})$ by

$$\phi(\alpha)^{20}_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z) := v_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)v_{\lambda_0}^{-\check{\partial}s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)}(z)^* v_{\lambda_0\lambda_2}(z)^*. \tag{15}$$

It is then immediate that the triple $(\phi(\alpha)^{20}, \phi(\alpha)^{11}, \phi(\alpha)^{02})$ is a cochain in $C^2_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S})$, and with some difficulty one can show that it is $D_{\check{\partial}s}$-closed [BCR11]. The map $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}$ is then defined by

$$\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s} : (CT(X, \delta), \alpha) \mapsto [\phi(\alpha)^{20}, \phi(\alpha)^{11}, \phi(\alpha)^{02}]. \tag{16}$$

With a further difficult computation, one can show that $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}$ is constant on outer conjugacy classes, and hence defines a map with domain $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$.

To complete the discussion of Theorem 5, we need to formulate the right downward arrow $\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$, and commutativity of the diagram. This arrow is only defined implicitly by chasing around a diagram. This is unfortunate, because an explicit map may allow generalisation to a dimensional reduction isomorphism from all of $\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ to a four-column complex generalising $\mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S})$. The first step is thus to explain the purpose of the restriction to $\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0}$.

Theorem 6 ([MR06, Thm 2.2]). *Let $\delta \in \check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$. Then δ is in the image of the forgetful homomorphism $F : \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X) \to \check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ if and only if $\pi^{0,3}(\delta) = 0$.*

Next, as every class in $\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0}$ lifts to $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$ we can implicitly define a map from $\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0}$ to $\mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ as the composition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X) \arrow[r, "\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}"] & \mathbb{H}^2_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S}) \arrow[d] \\
\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0} \arrow[u, "F^{-1}"] & \mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

provided the image in $\mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ is independent of the choice of the lift $\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0} \to \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$. From [MR06, Thm 2.3] we have

Proposition 1. *There is an exact sequence*

$$H^2_M(\mathbb{R}^n, C(X, \mathbb{T})) \to \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X) \to \check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0} \to 0.$$

To find the image of $H^2_M(\mathbb{R}^n, C(X, \mathbb{T}))$ under the composition

$$H^2_M(\mathbb{R}^n, C(X, \mathbb{T})) \to \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X) \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}} \mathbb{H}^2_{\check{\partial}s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S}),$$

we now describe $H^2_M(\mathbb{R}^n, C(X, \mathbb{T})) \to \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$ in more detail. First, we know from [MR05, Lemma 2.1] that there is an isomorphism $C(Z, M^u_n(\mathbb{R})) \cong H^2_M(\mathbb{R}^n, C(X, \mathbb{T}))$, taking $g \in C(Z, M^u_n(\mathbb{R}))$ to the cocycle $\tilde{g}$ in $Z^2_M(\mathbb{R}^n, C(X, \mathbb{T}))$, defined by

$$(s, t) \mapsto \left(x \mapsto \left[\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g(\pi(x))_{ij} t_i s_j \right]_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \right).$$

We find the image of this in $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and define a continuous map $L_{\tilde{g}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to C(X, U(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ with the formula

$$[L_{\tilde{g}}(s)(x)](\xi)(t) := \tilde{g}(s, t-s)(x)\xi(t-s), \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in X, \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This satisfies

$$L_{\tilde{g}}(s)(x)[L_{\tilde{g}}(t)(-s \cdot x)\xi](r) = \tilde{g}(s,t)(x)[L_{\tilde{g}}(s+t)(x)\xi](r).$$

It follows that the map $H^2_M(\mathbb{R}^n, C(X, \mathbb{T})) \cong C(Z, M^u_n(\mathbb{R})) \to \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$ is given (cf. [CKRW97, Thm 5.1]) by $g \mapsto [C_0(X, \mathcal{K}), \operatorname{Ad} L_{\tilde{g}} \circ \tau]$.

Lemma 5. *Let $(X, \mathcal{U}, s)$ be in the standard setup. Then the composition*

$$C(Z, M^u_n(\mathbb{R})) \to \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X) \overset{\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}}{\to} \mathbb{H}^2_{\eth s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S}) \to \mathbb{H}^3_{\eth s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$

is the zero map.

We include a proof for this lemma to help the reader understand Section 5.

Proof (of Lemma 5). Let $g \in C(Z, M^u_n(\mathbb{R}))$ have image $(C_0(X, \mathcal{K}), \operatorname{Ad} L_{\tilde{g}} \circ \tau) \in \mathfrak{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$. Then, if we take the identity maps as the local trivialisations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{\alpha,\Phi}_{(\lambda_0(m,\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))\lambda_0)} &= \operatorname{Ad} L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))}(m), \text{ and} \\ \beta^{\alpha,\Phi}_{(\lambda_0(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z),\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))\lambda_1)} &= \operatorname{Ad} L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))}(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z))\,. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can define

$$\begin{aligned} u^m_{\lambda_0}(z) :=& (L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))}(e_1))^{m_1} \dots (L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))}(e_n))^{m_n} \quad \text{and} \\ u_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z) :=& L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))}(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

We can then calculate the image $[\phi(\operatorname{Ad} L \circ \tau)^{20}, \phi(\operatorname{Ad} L \circ \tau)^{11}, \phi(\operatorname{Ad} L \circ \tau)^{02}]$ of $(C_0(X, \mathcal{K}), \operatorname{Ad} L_{\tilde{g}} \circ \tau)$ in $\mathbb{H}^2_{\eth s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S})$ using

$$L_{\tilde{g}}(s)(x)L_{\tilde{g}}(t)(-s \cdot x) = \tilde{g}(s,t)(x)L_{\tilde{g}}(s+t)(x). \tag{17}$$

as follows. By the definitions from Eqns. (13) and (14), the last two components are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\operatorname{Ad} L \circ \tau)^{02}_{\lambda_0}(z)_{ij} \quad &= L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))}(e_j) L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))}(e_i) \\ &\quad \times L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))}(e_j)^* L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))}(e_i)^* \\ &= \tilde{g}(e_j, e_i)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z)) \quad = \quad [g(z)_{ij}]_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}, \\ \phi(\operatorname{Ad} L \circ \tau)^{11}_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(m,z) \quad &= u^m_{\lambda_1}(z) u_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z) u^m_{\lambda_0}(z)^* u_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)^* \qquad \text{by (17)} \times 2 \\ &= L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))}(m) L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))}(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)) \\ &\quad \times L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))}(m)^* L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))}(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z))^* \\ &= \tilde{g}(m, -s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z))(\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z)) \tilde{g}(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z), m)(\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))^* \\ &= \left[\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g(z)_{ij} (m_i s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_j - s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_i m_j) \right]_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}, \end{aligned}$$

The last component is computed using Eqn. (15):

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L \circ \tau)^{20}_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z) &= u_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z) u_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z) u_{\lambda_0}^{-\check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)}(z) u_{\lambda_0\lambda_2}(z)^* \\
&= L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_2}(z))}(-s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)) L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))}(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)) \\
&\quad \times L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))}(-\check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z))^* L_{\tilde{g}(\cdot,\cdot)(\sigma_{\lambda_2}(z))}(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_2}(z))^* \\
&= \tilde{g}(-s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z), -s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)) \tilde{g}(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_2}(z), -\check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z))^* \\
&= \left[\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g(z)_{ij} (s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_i s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_j - \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_i s_{\lambda_0\lambda_2}(z)_j) \right]_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} .
\end{aligned}$$

The map from $\mathbb{H}^2_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S})$ to $\mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ is just a standard "connecting homomorphism", computing using a zig-zag:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z^3_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) & Z^3_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{R}) \arrow[l] & \\
& C^2_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{R}) \arrow[u, "D_{\check{\partial} s}"] & Z^2_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S}) \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus, the image of $[\phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L \circ \tau)^{20}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L \circ \tau)^{11}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L \circ \tau)^{02}]$ in $\mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ is a triple

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[&\Delta(\phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{20}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{11}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{02})^{30}, \\
&\Delta(\phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{20}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{11}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{02})^{21}, \\
&\Delta(\phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{21}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{11}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{02})^{12} \big].
\end{aligned}$$

The latter two terms are relatively easy to compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(\phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{20}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{11}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{02})^{21}_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_l \\
&\quad = \check{\partial} \left[\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g_{ij}(z) ((e_l)_i s_{\cdot\cdot}(z)_j - s_{\cdot\cdot}(z)_i (e_l)_j) \right]_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g(z)_{ij} (F_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_i (e_l)_j - (e_l)_i F_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_j) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta(\phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{20}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{11}, \phi(\mathrm{Ad}\, L\tau)^{02})^{12}_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_{ij} = g(z)_{ij} - g(z)_{ij} = 0.$$

The first term is similar, but requires one to compute the Čech differential $\check{\partial}$ of

$$\bigcap_{k=0}^{2} \pi(U_{\lambda_k}) \ni z \mapsto \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} g(z)_{ij} (s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_i s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_j - \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_i s_{\lambda_0\lambda_2}(z)_j).$$

We find this differential has the formula

$$\bigcap_{k=0}^{3} \pi(U_{\lambda_k}) \ni z \mapsto \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} g(z)_{ij} \left[\check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_i \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_j - \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_i \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_3}(z)_j \right.$$
$$\left. \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_i s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_j - s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_i \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_j \right].$$

Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(\phi(\operatorname{Ad} L\tau)^{20}, \phi(\operatorname{Ad} L\tau)^{11}, \phi(\operatorname{Ad} L\tau)^{02})^{30}_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z) \\
&= \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} g(z)_{ij} \left[\check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_i \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_j - \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_i \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_3}(z)_j \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_i s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_j - s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_i \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_j \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} g(z)_{ij} (\check{\partial} s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_i s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_j - s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)_i \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_j) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} g(z)_{ij} (\check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)_i \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_j - \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(z)_i \check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_3}(z)_j) \quad = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 1 and Lemma 5

Corollary 2. *Let* $(X, \mathcal{U}, s)$ *be in the standard setup, and suppose* $\delta \in \check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0}$. *Then the image of* δ *under the composition*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X) \arrow[r, "\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}"] & \mathbb{H}^2_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S}) \arrow[d] \\
\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0} \arrow[u, "F^{-1}"] & \mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}})
\end{tikzcd}$$

is independent of the choice of lift $\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0} \to \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$.

One can then prove that $\check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0} \to \mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$ is an isomorphism using the following commutative diagram with exact rows and an application of the Five Lemma:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C(Z, M^u_n(\mathbb{R})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & \mathrm{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \check{H}^3(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})|_{\pi^{0,3}=0} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
C(Z, M^u_n(\mathbb{R})) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{H}^2_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S}) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{H}^3_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}), \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now Theorem 5 follows immediately.

5 A Universal Example

We begin by introducing some notation.

Lemma 6. *Let* $(X, \mathcal{U}, s)$ *be in the standard setup. Define* $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$*-equivariant functions* $w_{\lambda_0} : \pi^{-1}(\pi(U_{\lambda_0})) \to \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ *by* $w_{\lambda_0}(x)^{-1}x := \sigma_{\lambda_0}(\pi(x))$. *Then for all indices* λ_0 *there is a unique continuous function* $\tilde{w}_{\lambda_0} : U_{\lambda_0} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *such that for all* $x \in U_{\lambda_0}$, $[\tilde{w}_{\lambda_0}(x)]_{\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n} = w_{\lambda_0}(x)$, *and* $\tilde{w}_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(\pi(x))) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$C(U_{\lambda_0},\mathbb{Z}^n) \to C(U_{\lambda_0},\mathbb{R}^n) \to C(U_{\lambda_0},\mathbb{T}^n) \to \check{H}^1(U_{\lambda_0},\mathbb{Z}^n).$$

Then $w_{\lambda_0}|_{U_{\lambda_0}}$ has a lift to a continuous function $\tilde{w}_{\lambda_0} : U_{\lambda_0} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if the image of w_{λ_0} under the connecting homomorphism $C(U_{\lambda_0},\mathbb{T}^n) \to \check{H}^1(U_{\lambda_0},\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is trivial. But, we have a locally constant sheaf over a contractible space U_{λ_0} because $\mathcal{U}$ is good, and thus we conclude $\tilde{w}_{\lambda_0}$ exists.

For uniqueness and the last condition, we just pick any $\tilde{w}_{\lambda_0}$ and consider $x \mapsto \tilde{w}_{\lambda_0}(x) - \tilde{w}_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(\pi(x)))$.

Suppose that $(X,\mathcal{U},s)$ is in the "standard setup", and let $(A,\alpha) \in \mathfrak{Br}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X)$ have image $[\phi] = [\phi^{20},\phi^{11},\phi^{02}] \in \mathbb{H}^2_{\check{\partial} s}(\pi(\mathcal{U}),\mathcal{S})$ under $\varXi_{\mathcal{U},s}$. By the construction of $\varXi_{\mathcal{U},s}$, we may suppose that there exist maps

$$v^{\bullet}_{\lambda_0} : \mathbb{Z}^n \to C(\pi(U_{\lambda_0}),U(\mathcal{H})), \quad v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1} \in C(\pi(U_{\lambda_0}) \cap \pi(U_{\lambda_1}),U(\mathcal{H})) \tag{18}$$

such that $\beta^{\alpha,\varPhi}_{(\lambda_0(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z),z)\lambda_1)} = \operatorname{Ad} v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)$, $\beta^{\alpha,\varPhi}_{(\lambda_0(m,z)\lambda_0)} = \operatorname{Ad} v^m_{\lambda_0}(z)$ and

$$\phi^{02}_{\lambda_0}(z)_{ij} = v^{e_j}_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))v^{e_i}_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))v^{e_j}_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))^* v^{e_i}_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))^*, \tag{19}$$

$$\phi^{11}_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(m,z) = v^m_{\lambda_1}(z)v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)v^m_{\lambda_0}(z)^* v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)^*, \tag{20}$$

$$\phi^{20}_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z) = v_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)v^{-\check{\partial} s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2}(z)}_{\lambda_0}(z)^* v_{\lambda_0\lambda_2}(z)^*. \tag{21}$$

We define a C*-algebra A_ϕ as

$$\begin{aligned} A_\phi := \big\{ \xi = \{\xi_{\lambda_0}\} : \xi_{\lambda_0} \in \operatorname{Ind}^{\mathbb{R}^n}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(C_0(\pi(U_{\lambda_0}),\mathcal{K}),\operatorname{Ad} v^{\bullet}_{\lambda_0}) \text{ and} \\ \operatorname{Ad} v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)\big[\xi_{\lambda_0}(t)(z)\big] = \xi_{\lambda_1}(t + s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z))(z), \\ \forall z \in \pi(U_{\lambda_0}) \cap \pi(U_{\lambda_1}), t \in \mathbb{R}^n \big\}. \end{aligned}$$

A computation shows this algebra is well-defined. Moreover, it comes with an action of $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\alpha'_s(\xi)_{\lambda_0}(t)(z) := \xi_{\lambda_0}(t-s)(z),$$

and we claim that $\varXi_{\mathcal{U},s}[A_\phi,\alpha'] = [\phi^{20},\phi^{11},\phi^{02}]$. For the next proposition, we will realise X via the isomorphism $X \cong \coprod_{\lambda_0} \pi(U_{\lambda_0}) \times \mathbb{T}^n/\sim$, where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation

$$(\lambda_0,z,[t]) \sim (\lambda_1,z,[t + s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)]) \quad \text{for all } z \in \pi(U_{\lambda_0}) \cap \pi(U_{\lambda_1}). \tag{22}$$

Proposition 2. *Let* $\{\xi_{\lambda_0}\} \in A_\phi$*, and define* $M(t,\lambda_0,z) : \xi \mapsto \xi_{\lambda_0}(t)(z)$*. Then the map* $(\lambda_0,z,t) \mapsto M(\lambda_0,z,t)$ *induces a homeomorphism of* X *onto* $\hat{A}_\phi$*.*

Proof. By [RW98, Prop 6.16] we have a homeomorphism

$$M : \coprod_{\lambda_0} \pi(U_{\lambda_0}) \times \mathbb{T}^n \to \coprod_{\lambda_0} \operatorname{Ind}^{\mathbb{R}^n}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(C_0(\pi(U_{\lambda_0}),\mathcal{K}),\operatorname{Ad} v^{\bullet}_{\lambda_0})\widehat{\ }$$

It therefore suffices to show that the representation $M(\lambda_0,z,t)$ is unitarily equivalent to $M(\lambda_1,z,t+s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z))$, but this follows from the definition of A_ϕ.

Proposition 3. *The image of* $[A_\phi, \alpha']$ *under* $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}$ *equals* $[\phi^{20}, \phi^{11}, \phi^{02}]$*, and* (A, α) *is outer conjugate to* (A_ϕ, α')*.*

Proof. We have local isomorphisms $\varPhi_{\lambda_0} : A_\phi|_{U_{\lambda_0}} \to C_0(U_{\lambda_0}, \mathcal{K})$ given by

$$\varPhi_{\lambda_0}(\xi)(x) := \xi_{\lambda_0}(\tilde{w}_{\lambda_0}(x))(\pi(x)).$$

Fix $T \in \mathcal{K}$ and let ξ be any element such that $T = \varPhi_{\lambda_0}(\xi)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z)) = \xi_{\lambda_0}(\tilde{w}_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z)))(z) = \xi_{\lambda_0}(0)(z)$. Observe

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^{\alpha,\varPhi}_{\lambda_0(m,\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z))\lambda_0}(T) =& \varPhi_{\lambda_0}(\alpha_m\xi)(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z)) = (\alpha_m\xi)_{\lambda_0}(\tilde{w}_{\lambda_0}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(z)))(z) \\ =& \xi_{\lambda_0}(0-m)(z) = \operatorname{Ad} v^m_{\lambda_0}[\xi_{\lambda_0}(0)(z)] = \operatorname{Ad} v^m_{\lambda_0}[T].\end{aligned}$$

Also, if $T = \varPhi_{\lambda_0}(\xi)(s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(\pi(x)) \cdot \sigma_{\lambda_1}(z)) = \xi_{\lambda_0}(0)(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta^{\alpha,\varPhi}_{\lambda_0(-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z),\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z))\lambda_1}(T) = \varPhi_{\lambda_1}(\alpha_{-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)}\xi)(\sigma_{\lambda_1}(z)) \\ =&(\alpha_{-s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)}\xi)_{\lambda_1}(0)(z) = \xi_{\lambda_1}(s_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z))(z) = \operatorname{Ad} v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)\big[\xi_{\lambda_0}(0)(z)\big] \\ =& \operatorname{Ad} v_{\lambda_0\lambda_1}(z)[T].\end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately from the construction of $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}$ that $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}[A_\phi, \alpha'] = [\phi^{20}, \phi^{11}, \phi^{02}]$, and the final statement of the proposition is true since $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}$ is injective.

Remark 1. Note that the construction of A_ϕ requires the existence of unitary valued maps satisfying Equations (19)-(21), which are known to exists by a difficult and indirect argument showing $\Xi_{\mathcal{U},s}$ is surjective (see [BCR11]). We are as yet unaware of a direct proof.

Acknowledgement. PB and AC would like to thank the organizers of the RIMS International Conference on Noncommutative Geometry and Physics for the invitation and hospitality. This research was supported under Australian Research Council's Discovery Projects funding scheme (project numbers DP0559415 and DP0878184).

References

[BCR11] P. Bouwknegt, A. Carey and R. Ratnam, *A Chern-Weil isomorphism for the equivariant Brauer group*, in preparation.
[BHM04] P. Bouwknegt, K. Hannabuss and V. Mathai, *T-duality for principal torus bundles*, J. High Energy Phys. **03** (2004), 018, [`arXiv:hep-th/0312284`].
[BHM05] P. Bouwknegt, K. Hannabuss and V. Mathai, *T-duality for principal torus bundles and dimensionally reduced Gysin sequences*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **9** (2005), 749-773, [`arXiv:hep-th/0412268`].
[BR11] P. Bouwknegt and R. Ratnam, *A Čech Dimensionally Reduced Gysin Sequence for Principal Torus Bundles*, in preparation.

[CKRW97] D. Crocker, A. Kumjian, I. Raeburn and D.P. Williams, *An equivariant Brauer group and actions of groups on C^*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **146** (1997), 151-184.

[DD63] J. Dixmier and A. Douady, *Champs continus d'espaces hilbertiens et de C^*-algèbres*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **61** (1963), 227-284.

[Ech90] S. Echterhoff, *On induced covariant systems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), 703-706.

[EN01] S. Echterhoff and R. Nest, *The structure of the Brauer group and crossed products of $C_0(X)$-linear group actions on $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **253** (2001), 3685-3712.

[EW98] S. Echterhoff and D.P. Williams, *Crossed products by $C_0(X)$-actions*, J. Funct. Anal. **158** (1998), 113-151, [`arXiv:funct-an/9706003`].

[FIP04] M. Falcitelli, S. Ianus and A.M. Pastore, *Riemannian submersions and related topics*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2004.

[KMRW98] A. Kumjian, P.S. Muhly, J.N. Renault and D.P. Williams, *The Brauer group of a locally compact groupoid*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), 901-954.

[MR05] V. Mathai and J. Rosenberg, *T-duality for torus bundles with H-fluxes via noncommutative topology*, Comm. Math. Phys. **253** (2005), 705-721, [`arXiv:hep-th/0401168`].

[MR06] V. Mathai and J. Rosenberg, *T-duality for torus bundles with H-fluxes via noncommutative topology. II. The high-dimensional case and the T-duality group*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10** (2006), 123-158, [`arXiv:hep-th/0508084`].

[PR79] J. Phillips and I. Raeburn, *Automorphisms of C^*-algebras and second Čech cohomology*, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **1** (1978/1979), 129-132.

[PRW96] J.A. Packer, I. Raeburn and D.P. Williams, *The equivariant Brauer group of principal bundles*, J. Operator Theory **36** (1996), 73-105.

[RW93] I. Raeburn and D.P. Williams, *Dixmier-Douady classes of dynamical systems and crossed products*, Canad. J. Math. **45** (1993), 1032-1066.

[RW98] I. Raeburn and D.P. Williams, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^*-algebras* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. **60**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[Spi79] M. Spivak, *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry*, Vol. 1&2, Publish or Perish Inc., Wilmington, Del, 1979.

[Ste47] N.E. Steenrod, *Products of cocycles and extensions of mappings*, Ann. of Math. **48** (1947), 290-320.

[Tu06] J.-L. Tu, *Groupoid cohomology and extensions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), 4721-4747.